

STEYER LOWENTHAL BOODROOKAS  
ALVAREZ & SMITH LLP  
Allan Steyer (SBN 100318)  
asteyer@steyerlaw.com  
Donald Scott Macrae (SBN104663)  
smacrae@steyerlaw.com  
Suneel Jain (SBN 314558)  
sjain@steyerlaw.com  
235 Pine Street, 15th Floor  
San Francisco, California 94104  
Phone: (415) 421-3400  
Facsimile: (415) 421-2234

Attorneys for Defendant  
MYA SYSTEMS, INC.

SEYFARTH SHAW LLP  
Gerald L. Maatman, Jr. (IL No. 6181016)  
(*Pro Hac Vice*)  
gmaatman@seyfarth.com  
Thomas E. Ahlering (IL No. 6295744)  
(*Pro Hac Vice*)  
tahlering@seyfarth.com  
233 South Wacker  
Chicago, IL 60606  
Telephone: (312) 460-5000  
Facsimile: (312) 460-7000

SEYFARTH SHAW LLP  
Ryan McCoy (SBN 276026)  
rmccoy@seyfarth.com  
Timothy M. Hoppe (SBN 310999)  
thoppe@seyfarth.com  
560 Mission Street, 31st Floor  
San Francisco, California 94105  
Telephone: (415) 397-2823  
Facsimile: (415) 397-8549

Attorneys for Defendant  
ADECCO, INC.

EDELSON PC  
Rafey Balabanian (SBN 315962)  
rbalabanian@edelson.com  
Lily Hough (SBN 315277)  
lough@edelson.com  
Aaron Lawson (SBN 319306)  
alawson@edelson.com  
123 Townsend Street, Suite 100  
San Francisco, California 94107  
Telephone: (415) 212.9300  
Facsimile: (415) 373-9435

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
CLARENCE RISHER

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

CLARENCE RISHER, individually and on  
behalf of all others similarly situated,

*Plaintiff,*

v.

ADECCO, INC., a Delaware corporation, and  
MYA SYSTEMS, INC., a Delaware  
corporation.

*Defendants.*

Case No. 3:19-CV-05602-RS

**STIPULATED REQUEST TO  
EXTEND BRIEFING SCHEDULE ON  
PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED  
COMPLAINT; ~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER**

Date:  
Time:  
Room:

Judge: Hon. Richard Seeborg

1 In accordance with Local Rule 6-1(b) and Local Rule 7-12, Plaintiff Clarence Risher  
2 (“Plaintiff”) and Defendants Adecco, Inc. and Mya Systems, Inc. (collectively, “Defendants”), by  
3 and through their respective counsel, respectfully request that this Court enter an Order extending  
4 the November 27, 2019 deadline for Defendants to respond to Plaintiff’s First Amended Complaint  
5 until December 9, 2019, and extending the resulting December 23, 2019 deadline for Plaintiff to file  
6 their opposition briefs to any motion to dismiss (responding to the First Amended Complaint) until  
7 January 3, 2019. Further, the Parties respectfully request that the Court enter an order withdrawing  
8 without prejudice Defendants’ earlier filed motions to dismiss (Mya Systems, Inc.’s Motion to  
9 Dismiss at Dkts. 23-24; Adecco, Inc.’s Motion to Dismiss at Dkt. 25) and vacating the December  
10 19, 2019 hearing on those motions to dismiss. In support of the instant stipulated request, the Parties  
11 state as follows:

12 1. Plaintiff filed the First Amended Complaint in this action on November 13, 2019.  
13 Dkt. 30. The current deadlines for Defendant’s response is November 27, 2019.

14 2. The Parties conferred and have stipulated (subject to the Court’s approval) to extend  
15 the time for Defendants to file their responses to the First Amended Complaint by ten days and to  
16 extend the time for Plaintiffs to file their opposition to a motion to dismiss (responding to the First  
17 Amended Complaint) by ten days.

18 3. The reason for the requested extension is to ensure that all counsel have sufficient  
19 time to research, investigate, and fully address the legal issues presented by the Parties’ respective  
20 motion papers, taking into consideration the Thanksgiving, Christmas, and New Year’s holidays.

21 4. In light of Plaintiff’s First Amended Complaint and pending motions to dismiss in  
22 response to Plaintiff’s First Amended Complaint, the previously filed motions to dismiss (Dkts. 23-  
23 25) and December 19, 2019 hearing on those motions to dismiss are moot.

24 5. The Parties seek the requested relief in good faith and not for any improper purpose.  
25 In light of the foregoing, the Parties believe that good cause exists to grant the instant stipulated  
26 request.

27 //

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**IT IS SO STIPULATED** *(subject to Court Order).*

Dated: November 21, 2019

STEYER LOWENTHAL BOODROOKAS  
ALVAREZ & SMITH LLP

/s/ Suneel Jain  
Suneel Jain  
*Attorney for Defendant Mya Systems, Inc.*

Dated: November 21, 2019

SEYFARTH SHAW LLP

/s/ Timothy M. Hoppe  
Timothy M. Hoppe  
*Attorney for Defendant Adecco, Inc.*

Dated: November 21, 2019

EDELSON PC

/s/ Lily Hough  
Lily Hough  
*Attorney for Plaintiff Clarence Risher*

**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER**

**PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

1. Defendants shall have to and including December 9, 2019 to respond to Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint.
2. Plaintiff shall have to and including January 3, 2020 to file their opposition to motions to dismiss the First Amended Complaint.
3. The motions to dismiss filed at Dkts. 23-25 are withdrawn without prejudice and the hearing on those motions scheduled for December 19, 2019 is vacated.

ENTERED: 11/22, 2019



Honorable Richard Seeborg  
United States District Court

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**ATTESTATION OF FILER**

Pursuant to L.R. 5-1(i)(3), the undersigned hereby attests that all parties have concurred in the filing of this stipulation.

/s/ Suneel Jain  
Suneel Jain